United States Senate

WASHINGTON, DC 20510

December 8, 2016

The Honorable Robert McDonald Secretary of Veterans Affairs Department of Veterans Affairs 810 Vermont Avenue, NW Washington, D.C. 20420

Dear Secretary McDonald:

We write today to express our support for the Veterans Emergency Care Fairness Act of 2009 (ECFA). Six years after enactment of the ECFA, our nation's veterans continue to bear the burden of emergency treatment costs not covered by veterans' third-party insurance. The U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs' (VA) continued denial of these claims is deeply troubling.

Congress's clear intent in passing the ECFA was to expand veteran eligibility for reimbursement for emergency treatment furnished to veterans in non-department facilities. Specifically, congressional intent was to require the VA to act as a secondary payer for emergency treatment costs not covered by the veteran's third-party insurance. It is evident that the VA has ignored congressional intent. Most troubling is the fact that those who are most affected by the VA's non-compliance with the ECFA are our elderly veterans, many of whom are living on fixed incomes and have limited resources to pay medical bills. Often, these veterans find themselves dealing with collection agencies as a result of emergency care received in the community. This potentially increases stress for these veterans, causes them to lose faith in the VA and keeps them from seeking future medical attention out of fear of acquiring additional medical bills for which they would be financially responsible.

As you are aware, on April 8, 2016, in the case of "Staab v. Secretary McDonald," the United States Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims agreed with the appellant's contention that the VA's application of 38 U.S.C. § 1725 frustrates the intent of Congress to reimburse veterans who are not wholly covered by a health-plan contract or other third-party recourse. In its decision, the court ruled that "Congress clearly intended that the VA be responsible for the cost of the emergency treatment which exceeds the amount payable or paid by the third-party insurer." The court further found the VA's regulations regarding the ECFA to be invalid and wholly inconsistent with the statute. As a result, the court ruled that 38 C.F.R. § 17.1002(f) is held invalid and directed it to be set aside.

Based upon this ruling, we strongly urge you to bring the VA into compliance with P.L. 111-137 and to amend any policy, regulation or other barrier that results in denial of veterans' claims for

reimbursement for non-department emergency care. We further urge you to re-open all previous claims of veterans that were denied because of the VA's non-compliance with congressional intent and the law.

Thank you for your attention to our concerns regarding this important issue. We look forward to working closely with you to fully serve the veterans of our great nation.

Sincerely,

M. Michael Rounds United States Senator

United States Senator

y a.a.y

Kelly A. Ayotte United States Senator

Kirsten Gillibrand United States Senator

John Cornyn

United States Senator

Shelley Moore Capito United States Senator

Amy Klobuchar United States Senator

Tammy Baldwin
United States Senator

John Boozman
United States Senator

Chuck Grassley

United States Senator

Jon Tester

United States Senator

Jerry Moran

United States Senator

Steve Daines
United States Senator

Brian Schatz
United States Senator

James M. Inhofe
United States Senator

Claire McCaskill
United States Senator

Al Franken
United States Senator

Sherrod Brown
United States Senator

Jeffrey A. Merkley
United States Senator

Patty Murray

United States Senator